



TITLE:

Note on Proizvolov's Example (空間族における未解決問題)

AUTHOR(S):

OKUYAMA, AKIHIRO

CITATION:

OKUYAMA, AKIHIRO. Note on Proizvolov's Example (空間族における未解決問題). 数理解析研究所講究録 1973, 194: 57-60

ISSUE DATE:

1973-12

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/107282>

RIGHT:

Note on Proizvolov's example

By Akihiro Okuyama

Dept. Math., Osaka Kyoiku University

Let f be a map (= continuous map) of a topological space X onto a topological space Y . We say that f is a compact-covering map if every compact subset of Y is the image of some compact subset of X under f . In the following cases, every open map is compact-covering :

- (1) (E. Michael [4]) X is a metric space and Y is a T_2 space and, for some metric on X , $f^{-1}(y)$ is complete for each $y \in Y$.
- (2) (A. Arhangel'skii [2]) X is a Čech-complete space and Y is a T_2 space.
- (3) (K. Alster [1]) X is a metric space and Y is a countable T_2 space.
- (4) (K. Nagami [5]) X is a p -space and Y is a T_3 space, and $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each $y \in Y$.

On the other hand, V.V.Proizvolov [6] constructed an

example such that there exists an open, at most two-to-one map from a Lindelöf, first countable T_3 space onto a compact metric space, which is not compact-covering.

In this note, we would like to give an adding explanation for his example, using the following lemma :

Lemma. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_1) and (X, \mathcal{T}_2) be compact T_2 spaces with $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_2$. Then $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ holds.

This is an immediate consequence from the fact that the identity map from (X, \mathcal{T}_2) to (X, \mathcal{T}_1) is homeomorphic.

Proizvolov's example. Let P be the set $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and $P_0 = [0,1] \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, and define the topology of P as below :
If $p \in P - P_0$, p has a neighborhood base in the usual sense of Euclidean plane. For any $p = (p_1, \frac{1}{2}) \in P_0$ and for any natural numbers l, m and n , let $U_{lmn}(p)$ be the subset of P which consists of p and of all points satisfying one of the following three conditions : (1) $p_2' \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $(p_1 + \frac{1}{n} - p_1')^2 + (\frac{1}{2} - p_2')^2 < \frac{1}{n^2}$ or $(p_1 - \frac{1}{n} - p_1')^2 + (\frac{1}{2} - p_2')^2 < \frac{1}{n^2}$; (2) $p_1 - \frac{2}{n} < p_1' < p_1 + \frac{2}{n}$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq p_2'$ and $p_2' - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{m} |p_1' - p_1|$; (3) $\frac{1}{2} \leq p_2' < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{l}$ and $p_2' - \frac{1}{2} > m |p_1' - p_1|$, , and let $\{U_{lmn}(p)\}_{l,m,n=1}^{\infty}$ be the neighborhood base at p . Then it is easily seen that P is a Lindelöf, first countable T_3 space. Let $Y = [0,1] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ be the subspace of

the Euclidean plane and f the map from P onto Y such that it identifies the points which are symmetric with respect to F_0 . Then f is clearly an open, at most two-to-one map. It remains to show that f is not compact-covering. On the contrary, suppose f is compact-covering. Then there exists a compact subset K of P , whose image by f covers Y . Let \mathcal{T}_1 be the topology of K as the subspace of the Euclidean plane, and let \mathcal{T}_2 be the topology of K as the subspace of P . Then $\mathcal{T}_1 < \mathcal{T}_2$ holds. Hence, by Lemma $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ holds. On the other hand, since K covers F_0 , by the definition of \mathcal{T}_2 it contains no countable base; however, \mathcal{T}_1 contains a countable base. This contradiction shows that f is not compact-covering.

Supplement. A space X is called a space of countable (resp. point-countable) type if every compact subset (resp. point) of X is contained in some compact subset of X with a countable neighborhood base (cf. [3]).

As for the research of K. Nagami [5], there was a question whether every open compact map defined on a T_3 space of countable type is compact-covering, and it was informed that V. V. Proizvolov [6] solved it in the negative. However, in his example mentioned above, P could not be of countable type.

Because, P_0 is a compact G_δ -subset of P which has no countable neighborhood base in P . Hence, it seems that such question remains still open.

References

1. K. Alster, Remaks on compact-covering mappings, Bull. de l'Acad. Polonaise des Sci., XIX (1971), 141--148.
2. A. V. Arhangel'skii, Open and near open mappings. Connections between spaces, Trudy Moskov.Math. Obshch., 15 (1966), 181--225.
3. A. V. Arhangel'skii, On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally bicomact spaces, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 151 (1963), 751--754 ; Soviet Math. Dokl., 4 (1963), 1051--1055.
4. E. A. Michael, A theorem on semi-continuous set-valued functions, Duke Math. J., 26 (1959), 647--652.
5. K. Nagami, Minimal class generated by open compact and perfect mappings, Fund. Math., LXXVIII (1973), 227--264.
6. V. V. Proizvolov, Solution answering a problem of Michael about k -covering mappings, Proc. 2nd Tiraspol Sympos on General Topology and its Applications, Kishinev 1969, 62 --64.